

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/002,344	10/25/2001	Herve E. Recipon	DEX-0241	4974
26259	7590 11/28/2003		EXAM	INER
LICATLA & TYRRELL P.C.			HORLICK, KENNETH R	
66 E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1637	
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/002,344	RECIPON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI MANUALO DATE ASAbia agreematication of	Kenneth R Horlick	1637			
Th. MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address P riod for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	<ol> <li>In no event, however, may a reply be apply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the, cause the application to become ABANDO</li> </ol>	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	<u>/21/03</u> .				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi n of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,10-14,16 and 17 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-9 and 15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language priority acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been received. Ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Est of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 first sentence of the specification provisional application has been stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	eation No eived in this National Stage eived. 9(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. received. 20 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s</li> </ol>	5) D Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/002,344

Art Unit: 1637

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-5, 7-9, and 15, and SEQ ID

Page 2

NO:81 which encodes SEQ ID NO:221, in the response filed 10/21/03 is acknowledged.

The traversal is on the grounds that there is no serious search burden to search all of

the groups. This is not found persuasive for the following reasons. First applicants do

not address the independence and distinctness of each of the Groups as outlined in the

requirement for restriction mailed 09/22/03. Second, the searches are not coextensive

as applicants assert. A complete search of the method claims requires more than a

search of the sequences, but also includes a search of the methods themselves.

Further, there is no right to the search of 10 unrelated sequences in one application.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 6, 10-14, 16, 17, and nucleic acid sequences other than SEQ ID NO:81

are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn

to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant

timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the paper filed 10/21/03.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

(A) A blank appears at page 48, line 27: "yeast -mating system".

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1637

(B) The disclosure contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP j 608.01. Embedded hyperlinks and/or other form of browser-executable code appear in at least the following locations: pages 54, 60, 61, and 129.

- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-9, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A) These claims are vague and indefinite because they claim more than was elected.
- B) The recitation of "selectively hybridizes" (claim 1) is vague, indefinite, and incomplete because the term is a relative one and no frame of reference is given. The determination or characterization of selective hybridization requires knowledge or disclosure of other potential hybridization targets and/or probes in the reaction mixture. None is given or mentioned; thus the claim is vague, indefinite, and incomplete.
- C) The recitation of "means for determining the presence of the nucleic acid molecule of claim 1" (claim 15) is vague and indefinite because such means are

Application/Control Number: 10/002,344

Art Unit: 1637

not clearly defined. While this claim appears to invoke "means plus function" language according to 35 U.S.C. 112, 6<sup>th</sup> paragraph, it cannot be determined from the specification what means are contemplated. Clarification is required.

5. Claims 1-5, 7-9, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Firstly, this rejection applies to the claims insofar as the embodiments in claim 1 of (c) and (d); that is, nucleic acids which selectively hybridize to SEQ ID NO:81, or those having at least 60% sequence identity to said sequence. Although the specification only discloses the sequence of SEQ ID NO:81, these embodiments cover a large genus of related nucleic acids which are not described and were not in applicant's possession. Included in this genus are any number of nucleic acids which have some sequence homology with SEQ ID NO:81, but nonetheless have substantially different and unpredictable properties, such as encoding a polypeptide of substantially or completely different biological function. Thus, the specification does not have written descriptive support for the large genus as set forth in parts (c) and (d) of claim 1.

Secondly, this rejection applies in another manner with respect to claim 3, which requires genomic DNA. The specification fails to describe the complete genomic DNA

Art Unit: 1637

sequence corresponding to the cDNA sequence of SEQ ID NO:81. Thus, applicants were clearly not in possession of the subject matter as claimed in claim 3.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hirano et al.

These claims are drawn to an isolated nucleic acid molecule which comprises SEQ ID NO:81, or a nucleic acid that selectively hybridizes to SEQ ID NO:81, or a nucleic acid having at least 60% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:81.

Hirano et al. teach the cloning of mouse OL-Protocadherin, which has a region of about 88% identity with SEQ ID NO:81 across a portion of about one-third of SEQ ID NO:81 (see pages 995-1005, and also three pages of attached sequence analysis and comparison done by the PTO). The nucleic acid taught by Hirano et al. cannot be distinguished from that being claimed in claim 1, as the OL-Protocadherin sequence (due to the noted region of high homology): i) would be expected to "selectively hybridize" to instant SEQ ID NO:81; and ii) has at least 60% sequence identity to SEQ ID NO:81. The Hirano et al. sequence is a cDNA (claim 2) and is mammalian (claim 4), and this reference further teaches a vector comprising said cDNA, a host cell

Application/Control Number: 10/002,344

Art Unit: 1637

comprising said vector, and expression of encoded protein using said host cell (see

page 996).

It is noted that SEQ ID NO:81 is considered to be patentable subject matter, as 7.

no prior art has been found teaching or suggesting this exact sequence. As shown on

page 120 of the specification, this sequence was analyzed and found to be "CLASP"

2H", wherein expression is only detectable in cancer tissue, and exhibits specificity for

lung tumor tissues.

8. No claims are allowable.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kenneth R Horlick whose telephone number is 703-308-

3905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0196.

Mutta Hall Ph.D. Kenneth R Horlick

Page 6

**Primary Examiner** 

Art Unit 1637

11/20/03